

Application No. 09/818272
Page 8

Amendment
Attorney Docket No. E16.2H-9670-US02

Remarks

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated May 29, 2003. Each issue is discussed in detail below.

Claims 1-10 and 26-29 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/31636 McKay in view of Jansen.

Although Applicant disagrees with the rejection, the claims have been amended to further distinguish them from the cited references.

Claims 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/31636 McKay in view of Jansen and further in view of Humphrey et al.

Although Applicant disagrees with the rejection, the claims have been amended to further distinguish them from the cited references.

Applicant believes the claims to be in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action thereon is requested. If there are further issues to discuss, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned at 952-563-3008.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

By: 

William E. Anderson II
Registration No.: 37766

Date: March 1, 2004

6109 Blue Circle Drive, Suite 2000
Minnetonka, MN 55343-9185
Telephone: (952) 563-3000
Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

F:\wpwork\wea\09670us02_amd_20031027.doc